

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/578,512	Applicant(s) FUNKE ET AL.
	Examiner JOHN PAK	Art Unit 1616
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>JOHN PAK.</u>	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>ROBERT ESMOND.</u>	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>12 August 2011</u>	Time: _____	

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
All

Prior art documents discussed:
U.S. Patent 7,902,231

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner and Mr. Esmond reached an agreement to allow the claims by limiting claim 1 to abamectin + compounds of formula (II-1) and amending the dependent claims to correspond thereto. However, the Examiner had to inform Mr. Esmond on 8/13/2011 in a follow-up communication that U.S. Patent 7,902,231, a recently issued patent, was found during an interference search. The patent claims the same invention, so the indication of allowability had to be withdrawn and the Examiner stated that an Office action would be written up instead.

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)